## Exam 2 Summary

The exam will cover material from Section 3.1 to 3.8 except for 3.6 (Variation of Parameters). Here is a summary of that information.

## Existence and Uniqueness:

Given the second order linear IVP,

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=g(t), \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}
$$

If there is an open interval $I$ on which $p, q$, and $g$ are continuous an contain $t_{0}$, then there exists a unique solution to the IVP, valid on $I$ (and may contain the endpoints of $I$, if the functions are also continuous there).

## Structure and Theory (Mostly 3.2)

The goal of the theory was to establish the structure of solutions to the second order IVP:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=g(t), \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}
$$

In summary, the general solution is a sum of the homogeneous and particular solutions. The details of that are given in the theorems below (what do you need to form the full homogeneous solution, for example):

1. Vocabulary: Linear operator, general solution, fundamental set of solutions, linear combination.
2. Solving the homogeneous equation, $L(y)=0$.

If $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$ each solves the homogeneous equation with linear operator $L$, then so does any linear combination,

$$
c_{1} y_{1}+\cdots c_{k} y_{k}
$$

That is,

$$
L\left(c_{1} y_{1}+\cdots c_{k} y_{k}\right)=c_{1} L\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots c_{k} L\left(y_{k}\right)=0
$$

and this is the superposition principle.
Once we find a bunch of candidate solutions to $L(y)=0$, which functions will be sufficient to write the solution using arbitrary intial conditions? That's the purpose of the Wronskian:

## 3. The Wronskian and the fundamental set

For the second order linear homogeneous equation, $y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=0$, if we can find two solutions, $y_{1}, y_{2}$ so that

$$
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0
$$

then $y_{1}, y_{2}$ forms a fundamental set of solutions. That is, the general solution to the homogeneous equation can be written as

$$
y_{h}(t)=C_{1} y_{1}(t)+C_{2} y_{2}(t)
$$

Abel's theorem generalizes this a bit- Rather than the Wronskian only at a single point $t_{0}$, is says more:

## 4. Abel's theorem.

If $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are solutions to $y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=0$, then the Wronskian, $W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, is either always zero or never zero on the interval for which the solutions are valid.
That is because the Wronskian may be computed as:

$$
W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)(t)=C \mathrm{e}^{-\int p(t) d t}
$$

Comment: Abel's theorem gives us a new way of computing the Wronskian (the first being by using the definition).
5. The last piece of theory is just in showing that the full solution to the non-homogeneous differential equation is given by:

$$
y(t)=y_{h}(t)+y_{p}(t)
$$

where $y_{h}(t)$ is the homogeneous solution, and $y_{p}(t)$ is the particular solution. This is actually easy to show, since $y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=g(t)$ can be written as $L(y)=g(t)$, where $L$ is a linear operator. Then

$$
L\left(y_{h}+y_{p}\right)=L\left(y_{h}\right)+L\left(y_{p}\right)=0+g(t)=g(t)
$$

In summary, the theory tells us that $y_{h}$ requires two functions for the fundamental set, and then we needed a way of getting one particular solution.

Solve $a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y=0$ for $y_{h}(t)$.
To solve $a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y=0$ we use the ansatz $y=\mathrm{e}^{r t}$. Then we form the associated characteristic equation:

$$
a r^{2}+b r+c=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}
$$

so that the solutions depend on the discriminant, $b^{2}-4 a c$ in the following way:

- $b^{2}-4 a c>0 \Rightarrow$ two distinct real roots $r_{1}, r_{2}$. The general solution is:

$$
y_{h}(t)=c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{r_{1} t}+c_{2} \mathrm{e}^{r_{2} t}
$$

- $b^{2}-4 a c=0 \Rightarrow$ one real root $r=-b / 2 a$. Then the general solution is:

$$
y_{h}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-(b / 2 a) t}\left(C_{1}+C_{2} t\right)
$$

- $b^{2}-4 a c<0 \Rightarrow$ two complex conjugate solutions, $r=\alpha \pm i \beta$. Then the solution is:

$$
y_{h}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\alpha t}\left(C_{1} \cos (\beta t)+C_{2} \sin (\beta t)\right)
$$

Solving $y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=0$ for $y_{h}(t)$
We had two methods for solving the more general equation:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+q(t) y=0
$$

but each method relied on already having one solution, $y_{1}(t)$. Given that situation, we can solve for $y_{2}$ (so that $y_{1}, y_{2}$ form a fundamental set), by one of two methods:

- By use of the Wronskian: There are two ways to compute this,

$$
-W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=C \mathrm{e}^{-\int p(t) d t} \text { (This is from Abel's Theorem) }
$$

$$
-W\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}
$$

These should be equal, and $y_{2}$ is the unknown in the first order ODE: $y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}=C \mathrm{e}^{-\int p(t) d t}$

- Reduction of order, where $y_{2}=v(t) y_{1}(t)$. Now substitute $y_{2}$ into the DE , and use the fact that $y_{1}$ solves the homogeneous equation, and the DE reduces to:

$$
y_{1} v^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 y_{1}^{\prime}+p y_{1}\right) v^{\prime}=0
$$

NOTE: I'd like for you to understand the technique- I'll give you the substitution if needed- you don't need to have this technique memorized for the exam.

Finding the particular solution, $y_{p}(t)$.
Our two methods were: Method of Undetermined Coefficients and Variation of Parameters (but Variation of Parameters won't be on the exam).

## Method of Undetermined Coefficients

This method is motivated by the observation that, a linear operator of the form $L(y)=a y^{\prime \prime}+b y^{\prime}+c y$, acting on certain classes of functions, returns the same class. In summary, the table from the text:

| if $g_{i}(t)$ is: | The ansatz $y_{p_{i}}$ is: |
| :---: | :--- |
| $P_{n}(t)$ | $t^{s}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} t+\ldots a_{n} t^{n}\right)$ |
| $P_{n}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\alpha t}$ | $t^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha t}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} t+\ldots+a_{n} t^{n}\right)$ |
| $P_{n}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{et} t} \sin (\mu t)$ or $\cos (\mu t)$ | $t^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha t}\left(\left(a_{0}+a_{1} t+\ldots+a_{n} t^{n}\right) \sin (\mu t)\right.$ |
|  | $\left.\quad+\left(b_{0}+b_{1} t+\ldots+b_{n} t^{n}\right) \cos (\mu t)\right)$ |

The $t^{s}$ term comes from an analysis of the homogeneous part of the solution. That is, multiply by $t$ or $t^{2}$ so that no term of the ansatz is included as a term of the homogeneous solution.

## Building and Analyzing Solutions to the Spring Mass Model (3.7-3.8)

Given

$$
m u^{\prime \prime}+\gamma u^{\prime}+k u=F(t)
$$

we should be able to determine the constants from a given setup for a spring-mass system. Once that's done, be able to analyze the spring-mass system in some particular cases:

1. No Forcing (so $m u^{\prime \prime}+\gamma u^{\prime}+k u=0$ )
(a) No damping, so $m u^{\prime \prime}+k u=0$ : Natural frequency is $\sqrt{k / m}$ (be able to write the solution to the ODE).
(b) With damping, so $m u^{\prime \prime}+\gamma u^{\prime}+k u=0$.

Be able to solve the system, and state if the system is Underdamped, Critically Damped, Overdamped.
2. Periodic Forcing
(a) With no damping: $u^{\prime \prime}+\omega^{2} u=F \cos (\omega t)$

- "Beating" occurs when $\omega$ is close to $\omega_{0}$, and in that case, the circular frequency for one beat is $\left|\omega_{0}-\omega\right|$.
- "Resonance" occurs when $\omega=\omega_{0}$. Resonance forces the solution to become unbounded (can be very bad in the physical world!)
- We should be able to solve the ODE, but there isn't anything special here- Just use the method of undetermined coefficients.
(b) With damping: $u^{\prime \prime}+p u^{\prime}+q u=F \cos (\omega t)$

To solve this equation, we use the standard method (undetermined coefficients). However, to analyze the solutions, we rewrote the particular solution as $R \cos (\omega t-\delta)$, and we found that the value of $R$ was given by:

$$
R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(q-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+p^{2} \omega^{2}}}
$$

This expression would be given to you if needed on the exam. The importance here is that the amplitude is a function of the quantities $p, q$ and $\omega$. Therefore, for example, given that $p, q$ are fixed, we can determine the value of $\omega$ that maximizes the amplitude $R$. This would represent the resonant frequency (in the case that damping is present).
The maximum is found using the standard technique of setting the derivative of $R$ equal to zero, etc. Note that we could optimize $R$ using $p$ or $q$ instead of $\omega$.

Be sure to look over the page of homework questions that is a substitute for Section 3.8 to get an idea of the kinds of questions for this section.

