

Extra Worked Example: Full Sensitivity Analysis

A factory can produce 4 products. Each product must be processed in each of two workshops. The processing times and profit margins for each of the four products is shown.

	1	2	3	4
Workshop 1	3	4	8	6
Workshop 2	6	2	5	8
Profit	4	6	10	9

If we have 400 hours of labor available in each workshop, the following LP can be used:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \max & z = & 4x_1 & +6x_2 & +10x_3 & +9x_4 \\ \text{st} & & 3x_1 & +4x_2 & +8x_3 & +6x_4 \leq 400 \text{ Labor 1} \\ & & 6x_1 & +2x_2 & +5x_3 & +8x_4 \leq 400 \text{ Labor 2} \end{array}$$

The initial and final tableaux:

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	s_1	s_2		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	s_1	s_2	
-4	-6	-10	-9	0	0	0	1/2	0	2	0	3/2	0	600
3	4	8	6	1	0	400	3/4	1	2	3/2	1/4	0	100
6	2	5	8	0	1	400	9/2	0	1	5	-1/2	1	200

Sensitivity Analysis

The basic variables are (in order): $\mathcal{B} = \{x_2, s_2\}$ so that the matrices B and B^{-1} can be read directly from the initial and final tableaux respectively.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Further, the vector $\mathbf{c}^T = [4, 6, 10, 9, 0, 0]$ and the vector $\mathbf{c}_B^T = [6, 0]$.

1. Sensitivity Analysis on the NBVs.

- x_1 : Change 4 to $4 + \Delta$.

We see that $\hat{c}_1 = 1/2$, so we have $\hat{c}_k - \Delta > 0$: $\frac{1}{2} - \Delta > 0 \Rightarrow \Delta < \frac{1}{2}$.

The full computation was:

$$-(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_1) + \mathbf{c}_B^T B^{-1} A \Rightarrow \hat{c} - \Delta \mathbf{e}_1$$

so the only element of Row 0 that changes is the first element: $\frac{1}{2} - \Delta$

- x_3 : Change 10 to $10 + \Delta$. Again, $\hat{c}_3 - \Delta > 0$ gives: $2 - \Delta > 0 \Rightarrow \Delta < 2$.
- x_4 : Change 9 to $9 + \Delta$, and we have $\hat{c}_4 - \Delta$, or $0 - \Delta > 0$ or $\Delta < 0$.
- We could also ask change the value of s_1 (in z). By the same reasoning of the previous variables, we would get $\frac{3}{2} - \Delta > 0$.

2. Sensitivity of BVs.

- Change x_2 from 6 to $6 + \Delta$.

The full computation is

$$-(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_2) + (\mathbf{c}_B + \Delta \mathbf{e}_1)^T (B^{-1} A) = -\hat{c} - \Delta \mathbf{e}_2 + \Delta \mathbf{e}_1^T (B^{-1} A)$$

That's the sum of three row vectors:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & 1/2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3/2 & 0 \\
 - & 0 & \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 + & 3\Delta/4 & \Delta & 2\Delta & 3\Delta/2 & \Delta/4 & 0 \\
 \hline
 & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\Delta & 0 & 2 + 2\Delta & \frac{3}{2}\Delta & \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta & 0
 \end{array}$$

We want all four non-zero expressions to be non-negative. Take the intersection of the four intervals, and we should see in this case that $\Delta > 0$ will satisfy all four.

- Change s_2 from 0 to Δ .

Since s_2 is the 6th element of row 0 (and the second element of c_B), the full computation is:

$$-(\mathbf{c} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_6) + (\mathbf{c}_B + \Delta \mathbf{e}_2)^T (B^{-1}A) = -\hat{\mathbf{c}} - \Delta \mathbf{e}_6 + \Delta \mathbf{e}_2^T (B^{-1}A)$$

This is the sum of three rows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & 1/2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3/2 & 0 \\
 - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta \\
 + & 9\Delta/2 & 0 & \Delta & 5\Delta & -\Delta/2 & \Delta \\
 \hline
 & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\Delta & 0 & 2 + \Delta & 5\Delta & \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta & 0
 \end{array} \Rightarrow 0 < \Delta < 3$$

3. Changes in the RHS and the Shadow Prices.

- Change in the first constraint:

The right hand side (RHS) of the tableau: $B^{-1}(\mathbf{b} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_1) = B^{-1}\mathbf{b} + \Delta B^{-1}\mathbf{e}_1$ which is the old right side plus Δ times the first column of B^{-1} :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 200 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow z = 6(100 + \Delta/4) = 600 + \frac{3}{2}\Delta$$

The shadow price for the first constraint is $3/2$.

- Change in the second constraint.

The right hand side (RHS) of the tableau: $B^{-1}(\mathbf{b} + \Delta \mathbf{e}_2) = B^{-1}\mathbf{b} + \Delta B^{-1}\mathbf{e}_2$ which is the old right side plus Δ times the second column of B^{-1} :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 200 \end{bmatrix} + \Delta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that $z = 600$. The shadow price is 0.

NOTE: It makes sense that the shadow price is zero- In the optimal tableau, if $s_2 = 200$, then we have an extra 200 hours of labor available. Increasing that by 1 does nothing to z .

4. What if we introduce a new product, x_5 , that has a profit of \$12.00 per unit, but is a process hog: $[8, 8]^T$. Would it be worth it to bring this product in?

SOLUTION: To price out the column, treat it as a new column of A . The new column in $B^{-1}A$ is then

$$B^{-1}\mathbf{a}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

And the new Row 0 element would be

$$-12 + [6, 0] \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

This means that bringing in Product 3 would actually create multiple optimal solutions, but would not increase the overall profit z .