Example 1, Section 4.16

The Leon Burnit Ad Agency is trying to determine a TV schedule for Priceler Auto.

Ad	HIM	LIP	HIW	Cost
Football	7	10	5	100,000
Soap Opera	3	5	4	60,000
Goals	40	60	35	600,000

This means, for example, that the ad agency wants to reach at least 40 million viewers "HIM", 60 million "HIW", and 35 million "LIP", with a total budget of \$600,000.00.

SOLUTION (for the penalty terms):

$\min z =$	$200s_1^- + 100s_2^- + 50s_3^- + s_4^+$		
s.t.	$7x_1 + 3x_2 + s_1^ s_1^+$	= 40	HIM
	$10x_1 + 5x_2 + s_2^ s_2^+$	= 60	LIP
	$5x_1 + 4x_2 + s_3^ s_3^+$	= 35	HIW
	$100x_1 + 60x_2$	≤ 600	Budget

The initial tableau:

x_1	x_2	s_1^-	s_1^+	s_2^-	s_2^+	s_3^-	s_3^+	s_4^-	s_4^+	rhs
0	0	200	0	100	0	50	0	0	1	0
7	3	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
10	5	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	60
5	4	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	35
100	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	600

Final tableau:

ſ	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} s_1^-\\200 \end{array}$	$s_1^+ \\ 0$	$\frac{s_2^-}{\frac{280}{3}}$	s_{2}^{+} $\frac{20}{3}$	$\frac{s_{3}^{-}}{\frac{130}{3}}$	s_3^+ $\frac{20}{3}$	$\begin{array}{c} s_4^- \\ 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{vmatrix} s_4^+ \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$	rhs $-\frac{100}{3}$
	1	0	0	0	$\frac{4}{15}$	$-\frac{4}{15}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	$\frac{13}{3}$
	0	0	-1	1	$\frac{13}{15}$	$-\frac{13}{15}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$
	0	0	0	0	$\frac{20}{3}$	$-\frac{20}{3}$	$\frac{20}{3}$	$-\frac{20}{3}$	-1	1	$\frac{100}{3}$
	0	1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	$\frac{10}{3}$ -

Conclusion:

$$x_1 = \frac{13}{3} \qquad x_2 = \frac{10}{3}$$

Which gives:

$$s_1^- = 0, s_1^+ = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $s_2^- = 0, s_2^+ = 0$ $s_3^- = 0, s_3^+ = 0$ $s_4^- = 0, s_4^+ = 33\frac{1}{3}$

CONCLUSION: An increase in the budget by $33\frac{1}{3}$ thousands of dollars would allow us to meet all of our advertising goals.

Example 2, Section 4.16 (Goal Programming)

The Dewright company is considering three new products to replace current models. ...

Factor	Prod 1	2	3	Goal	(units)	Penalty
Long run profit	12	9	15	≥ 125	(millions dollars)	5
Employment	5	3	4	= 40	(hundreds of people)	2(+), 4(-)
Capital	5	7	8	≤ 55	(millions dollars)	3

SOLUTION: As before, let s_i^+ be the amount over, s_i^- be the amount under the stated goal. In goal 1, we see that the amount under gets the penalty (s_1^-) , in employment, we get both, and in capital, the penalty is for going over (s_3^+) .

```
 \min \quad z = 5s_1^- + 2s_2^+ + 4s_2^- + 3s_3^+ 
 12x_1 + 9x_2 + 15x_3 + s_1^- - s_1^+ = 125 
 5x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + s_2^- - s_2^+ = 40 
 5x_1 + 7x_2 + 8x_3 + s_3^- - s_3^+ = 55
```

Solution in LINDO:

```
min 5s1m+2s2p+4s2m+3s3p
st 12x1+9x2+15x3+s1m-s1p=125
5x1+3x2+ 4x3+s2m-s2p=40
5x1+7x2+ 8x3+s3m-s3p=55
```

LINDO OUTPUT:

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 5

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

```
1) 16.66667
```

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
S1M	0.000000	3.095238
S2P	8.333333	0.000000
S2M	0.000000	6.000000
S3P	0.000000	0.428571
X1	8.333333	0.000000
Х2	0.000000	6.857143
ХЗ	1.666667	0.000000
S1P	0.000000	1.904762
S3M	0.000000	2.571429

We see Goal 1 was attained, we went over Goal 2 by 25/3, and met Goal 3 by taking $x_1 = 25/3$, $x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 = 5/3$.

Example 3: Preemptive Goal Programming, In-Class

Going back to Example 1, suppose we prioritize the goals:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & z = P_1 s_1^- \\ \min & z = & P_2 s_2^- \\ \min & z = & & P_3 s_3^- \end{array}$$

To reiterate, the "constraints" were:

$7x_1 + 3x_2$	≥ 40	HIM
$10x_1 + 5x_2$	≥ 60	LIP
$5x_1 + 4x_2$	≥ 35	HIW
$100x_1 + 60x_2$	≤ 600	Budget

Write the tableau that we will put into Maple:

	x_1	x_2	s_1^+	s_2^+	s_3^+	s_1^-	s_2^-	s_3^-	s_4	rhs
	0	0	0	0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0
	7	3	-1	0	0	1	0	0	0	40
	10	5	0	-1	0	0	1	0	0	60
	5	4	0	0	-1	0	0	1	0	35
1	00	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	600

The final tableau in Maple:

$\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$s_1^+ \\ 0$	s_2^+ 0	$s_3^+ \\ 0$	s_1^- P1	$\begin{array}{c} s_2^- \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} s_3^- \\ 0 \end{array}$	${s_4 \atop 0}$	rhs 0
0	0	0	0	0	0	P2	0	0	0
0	0	0	P3	P3	0	-P3	0	$\frac{3}{20} P3$	-5 P3
1	0	0	$-\frac{3}{5}$	0	0	$\frac{3}{5}$	0	$-\frac{1}{20}$	6
0	0	1	$-\frac{6}{5}$	0	-1	$\frac{6}{5}$	0	$-\frac{1}{20}$	2
0	0	0	-1	-1	0	1	1	$-\frac{3}{20}$	5
0	1	0	1	0	0	-1	0	$\frac{1}{10}$	0

Interpretation: By spending 6 units of advertising on football games (x_1) and nothing on soap operas, we should be able to reach our target audience of high income men and high income women.

Example 4: Color TVs and VCRs

This is a modification of Exercise 3 from the text.

Highland Appliance must determine how many color TVs and VCRs should be stocked. It costs Highland \$300 to purchase a TV and \$200 to purchase a VCR. A TV requires 3 square yards of storage space, and a VCR requires 1 square yard. A TV will bring \$150 in profit, a VCR \$100. Highland has set the following goals (in order of importance):

- Goal 1: We have \$20,000 to buy TVs and VCR's
- Goal 2: We need at least \$11,000 in profit
- Goal 3: We have no more than 200 square yards of storage space.

First, formulate a nonpreemptive goal program that makes the penalty for profit 10 times that of the other two goals, and solve with LINDO. Then make them all equal and re-solve (using LINDO).

Secondly, write a preemptive goal program and solve it with Maple.

(Go to next several pages for the solutions) FIRST PART OF SOLUTION: Write down the constraints and variables needed:

$300x_1$	$+200x_{2}$	≤ 20000	Moolah for Product, Penalize overage
$150x_1$	$+100x_{2}$	≥ 11000	Profit, Penalize underage
$3x_1$	$+2x_{2}$	≤ 200	Storage Space, Penalize overage

Therefore, the variables are:

SOLUTION 1 (LINDO, with weighted penalties):

min s1p+10s2m+s3p
st
300x1+200x2+s1m-s1p=20000
150x1+100x2+s2m-s2p=11000
3x1+2x2+s3m-s3p=200

Output from LINDO:

LP	OPTIMUM	FOUND AT	STEP	4		
	OB	JECTIVE FU	JNCTION	VALUE	2020.00	00
1	VARIABLE	V	ALUE		REDUCED	COST
	S1P	2000	0.00000)	0.00	00000
	S2M	(0.00000)	7.98	30000
	S3P	20	0.00000)	0.00	00000
	X1	73	3.333336	5	0.00	00000
	Х2	(0.00000)	0.00	00000
	S1M	(0.00000)	1.00	00000
	S2P	(0.00000)	2.02	20000
	S3M	(0.00000)	1.00	00000

SOLUTION 2: LINDO, using equal weights

OB	JECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE	1000
VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
S1P	0.000000	0.510000
S2M	1000.000000	0.00000
S3P	0.000000	0.00000
X1	66.66664	0.00000
X2	0.000000	0.00000
S1M	0.000000	0.490000
S2P	0.000000	1.000000
S3M	0.000000	1.000000

SOLUTION 3: Solve the preemptive program using Maple

Originally, in Maple we'll solve the **maximization** problem:

$$\max -z = -P_1 s_1^+ - P_2 s_2^- - P_3 s_3^+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad -z + P_1 s_1^+ + P_2 s_2^- + P_3 s_3^- = 0$$

which we put down in three goal rows.

x_1	x_2	s_1^-	s_1^+	s_2^-	s_2^+	s_3^-	s_3^+	RHS
0	0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	P_3	0
300	200	1	-1	0	0	0	0	20,000
150	100	0	0	1	-1	0	0	11,000
3	2	0	0	0	0	1	-1	200

Oh no! I can't use the columns with the P_i as basic variables! What am I to do? ANSWER: The two phase method. In Maple, we get:

x_1	x_2	s_1^-	s_1^+	s_2^-	s_2^+	s_3^-	s_3^+	a_1	a_2	RHS
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0	0
300	200	1	-1	0	0	0	0	1	0	20,000
150	100	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	11,000
3	2	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	1	200

Then (follow along in Maple to the end):

x_1	x_2	s_1^-	s_1^+	s_2^-	s_2^+	s_3^-	s_3^+	a_1	a_2	RHS
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	$P_{2}/2$	$-P_{2}/2$	0	P_2	0	0	P2/2	0	$-1000P_{2}$
0	0	$-P_3/100$	$P_{3}/100$	0	0	P_3	0	$-P_3/100$	P_3	0
0	0	1/100	-1/100	0	0	-1	1	1/100	-1	0
0	0	-1/2	1/2	1	-1	0	0	-1/2	0	1,000
1	2/3	1/300	-1/300	0	0	0	0	1/300	0	200/3

Notes:

- We cannot pivot in the s_1^+ column to get a better P_2 result without decreasing our first goal (since P_1 is above $-\frac{1}{2}P_2$). Therefore, goal P_2 is finished. Similarly, we cannot pivot in Column 2 (s_1^-) without decreasing Goal 2. Therefore, Goal 3 is finished.
- The zeros to the right of Goals 1 and 3 mean that they have both been met.
- Goal 2 has not been met.
- Current set of basic variables: x_1, s_2^- , and s_3^+ . The full BFS is (in order)

$$x_1 = 66\frac{2}{3}$$
 $x_2 = 0$ $s_1^{\pm} = 0$ $s_2^{-} = 1000, s_2^{+} = 0, s_3^{\pm} = 0$

Solving TV/VCRs with LINDO

As discussed in the text, we can use LINDO to solve the LP with priorities. We start with the initial tableau, and the highest priority goal. Recall that s_1^+, s_2^- and s_3^+ are the "bad" variables for goals 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

min s1p								
st 300x1+200	st 300x1+200x2+s1m-s1p=20000							
150x1+100x2+s2m-s2p=11000								
3x1+ 2	2x2+s3m-s3p=200							
LINDO OUTPU	Г:							
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE								
1)	0.000000E+00							
VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST						
S1P	0.00000	1.000000						
X1	0.00000	0.000000						
Х2	0.00000	0.00000						
S1M	20000.000000	0.000000						
S2M	11000.000000	0.000000						
S2P	0.00000	0.000000						
S3M	200.000000	0.000000						
S3P	0.00000	0.00000						

Now, rerun with the new problem using the second priority:

min s2m		
st 300x1+20	00x2+s1m-s1p=20000	
150x1+1()0x2+s2m-s2p=11000	
3x1+	2x2+s3m-s3p=200	
	s1p=0	***NOTE THIS NEW LINE!
LINDO OUTPU	JT:	
OB	JECTIVE FUNCTION VAL	LUE
1)	1000.000	
VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
S2M	1000.000000	0.00000
X1	66.666664	0.000000
X2	0.00000	0.000000
S1M	0.00000	0.500000
S1P	0.00000	0.000000
S2P	0.00000	1.000000
S3M	0.00000	0.00000
S3P	0.00000	0.000000

And finally, rerun with the modified system:

min s3p
st 300x1+200x2+s1m-s1p=20000
150x1+100x2+s2m-s2p=11000
3x1+ 2x2+s3m-s3p=200
s1p=0
s2m=1000

LINDO OUTPUT:

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE 1) 0.000000E+00 VARIABLE VALUE REDUCED COST S3P 0.00000 1.000000 X1 66.666664 0.00000 Х2 0.00000 0.00000 S1M0.000000 0.00000 S1P 0.000000 0.000000 S2M1000.000000 0.00000 S2P 0.000000 0.000000 S3M 0.000000 0.000000

(Same solution as Maple with priorities)