

Goal Programming Example

The Dewright company is considering three new products. Goals and penalties are shown in the table:

Factor	Product			Goal	Penalty
	1	2	3		
Profit	12	9	15	≥ 125	5
Employment	5	3	4	$= 40$	2(+), 4(-)
Capital	5	7	8	≤ 55	3

Formulate a system for goal programming.

Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be the prod. rates. Then we will

$$\min z = 5s_1 + 4s_2 + 2e_2 + 3e_3$$

$$12x_1 + 9x_2 + 15x_3 + s_1 - e_1 = 125$$

$$5x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + s_2 - e_2 = 40$$

$$5x_1 + 7x_2 + 8x_3 + s_3 - e_3 = 55$$

We could easily solve this in LINDO or a spreadsheet.

The Maple worksheet is Example 2 online.

$$x_1 = \frac{100}{7}, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = \frac{145}{7}$$

Goal Programming Example

(Exercise 4, 4.16) A company produces two products, where the labor and profit are:

	Prod 1	Prod 2	Target
Labor (hrs)	4	2	32
Profit (\$)	4	2	48
Demand	7	10	

The company incurs a penalty of \$1 for each dollar it falls short of the profit. A \$2 penalty is incurred for each hour of overtime, and a \$1 penalty is incurred for each hour of labor that is not used. A penalty of \$5/unit is assessed for any shortfall in meeting demand.

Formulate an LP to minimize the penalty.

SOLUTION: We minimize:

$$z = s_1 + 2e_1 + s_2 + 5s_3 + 5s_4$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 - e_1 = 32 \text{ Labor}$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_2 - e_2 = 48 \text{ Profit}$$

$$x_1 + s_3 - e_3 = 7$$

$$x_2 + s_4 - e_4 = 10$$

SOLUTION: We minimize:

$$z = s_1 + 2e_1 + s_2 + 5s_3 + 5s_4$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 - e_1 = 32 \text{ Labor}$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_2 - e_2 = 48 \text{ Profit}$$

$$x_1 + s_3 - e_3 = 7$$

$$x_2 + s_4 - e_4 = 10$$

In LINDO, we get

$$x_1 = 7, \quad x_2 = 10, \quad e_1 = 16$$

SOLUTION: We minimize:

$$z = s_1 + 2e_1 + s_2 + 5s_3 + 5s_4$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 - e_1 = 32 \text{ Labor}$$

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_2 - e_2 = 48 \text{ Profit}$$

$$x_1 + s_3 - e_3 = 7$$

$$x_2 + s_4 - e_4 = 10$$

In LINDO, we get

$$x_1 = 7, \quad x_2 = 10, \quad e_1 = 16$$

reasonable considering heavy penalty on not meeting demand.

Goal Programming

Now we'll try the same problem, except that we set the following goals (in order of importance):

- ▶ Goal 1: Avoid underutilization of labor.
- ▶ Goal 2: Meet demand for product 1.
- ▶ Goal 3: Meet demand for product 2.
- ▶ Goal 4: Do not use any overtime.

We see profit is no longer a constraint.

Setting up the Tableau:

$$\begin{array}{rrrrrcl} 4x_1 & +2x_2 & +s_1 & -e_1 & = & 32 & \text{Labor} \\ x_1 & & +s_2 & -e_2 & = & 7 & \\ & x_2 & +s_3 & -e_3 & = & 10 & \end{array}$$

Goals:

$$P_1s_1 \gg P_2s_2 \gg P_3s_3 \gg P_4e_1$$

From our equations, we get the tableau (max):

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	e_1	e_2	e_3	rhs
0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	P_4	0	0	0
4	2	1	0	0	-1	0	0	32
1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	7
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	10

Choose a set of variables for the BFS, and row reduce to get columns of the identity matrix.

From our equations, we get the tableau (max):

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	e_1	e_2	e_3	rhs
0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	P_4	0	0	0
4	2	1	0	0	-1	0	0	32
1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	7
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	10

Choose a set of variables for the BFS, and row reduce to get columns of the identity matrix.

Current basic variables: $s_1 = 32, s_2 = 7, s_3 = 10$.

Clearing those columns, here is the result:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|ccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & \text{rhs} \\ \hline -4P_1 & -2P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & -32P_1 \\ -P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & -7P_2 \\ 0 & -P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & -10P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 32 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 10 \end{array} \right]$$

Using the first row as Row 0, pivot in column 1, row 6 (use the ratio test).

This will bring in x_1 as BV, and move s_2 to NBV.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|ccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & \text{rhs} \\ \hline 0 & -2P_1 & 0 & 4P_1 & 0 & P_1 & -4P_1 & 0 & -4P_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & -10P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 2 & 1 & -4 & 0 & -1 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 10 \end{array} \right]$$

Now bring in e_2 as basic, and move s_1 to NBV.
That is, pivot in Column 7, Row 5.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|ccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & \text{rhs} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & -10P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1/2 & 1/4 & -1 & 0 & -1/4 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1/2 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & -1/4 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 10 \end{array} \right]$$

Goals 1 and 2 now complete. Proceed to Priority 3.
Bring in x_2 as basic, take e_2 back out again.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|ccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & \text{rhs} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_3/2 & -2P_3 & 0 & -P_3/2 & 2P_3 & P_3 & -8P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1/2 & -2 & 0 & -1/2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 & 2 & 1 & 1/2 & -2 & -1 & 8 \end{array} \right]$$

We can't bring in s_2 without messing up P_2 . Are we done?

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|ccc|ccc|c} x_1 & x_2 & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & \text{rhs} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_3/2 & -2P_3 & 0 & -P_3/2 & 2P_3 & P_3 & -8P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1/2 & -2 & 0 & -1/2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 & 2 & 1 & 1/2 & -2 & -1 & 8 \end{array} \right]$$

We can't bring in s_2 without messing up P_2 . Are we done?
Bring in e_1 , take out s_3 .

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	e_1	e_2	e_3	rhs
0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0	0	0
0	0	P_4	$-4P_4$	$-2P_4$	0	$4P_4$	$2P_4$	$-16P_4$
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	10
1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	7
0	0	-1	4	2	1	-4	-2	16

Optimal?

x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	e_1	e_2	e_3	rhs
0	0	P_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	P_2	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	P_3	0	0	0	0
0	0	P_4	$-4P_4$	$-2P_4$	0	$4P_4$	$2P_4$	$-16P_4$
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	10
1	0	0	1	0	0	-1	0	7
0	0	-1	4	2	1	-4	-2	16

Optimal?

$$x_1 = 7, \quad x_2 = 10, \quad e_1 = 16$$

Solving in LINDO

Let's look at solving the goal programming LP in LINDO.
We first ask LINDO to $\min z = s_1$ such that

$$4x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 - e_1 = 32$$

$$x_1 + s_2 - e_2 = 7$$

$$x_2 + s_3 - e_3 = 10$$

Type the following into LINDO, then “Solve”:

```
min s1
st
4x1+2x2+s1-e1=32
x1+s2-e2=7
x2+s3-e3=10
end
```

LINDO returns: $x_1 = 7$ and $x_2 = 2$.

Now we ask LINDO to solve the following system (just make the changes “live”)

```
min s2
st
4x1+2x2+s1-e1=32
x1+s2-e2=7
x2+s3-e3=10
s1=0
end
```

LINDO returns: $x_1 = 8$ and $x_2 = 0$.

Continuing, we have:

```
min s3
st
4x1+2x2+s1-e1=32
x1+s2-e2=7
x2+s3-e3=10
s1=0
s2=0
end
```

LINDO returns: $x_1 = 7$ and $x_2 = 10$.

Finally, we ask LINDO:

```
min e1
st
4x1+2x2+s1-e1=32
x1+s2-e2=7
x2+s3-e3=10
s1=0
s2=0
s3=0
end
```

LINDO basically returns the same answer:

$$x_1 = 7, \quad x_2 = 10, \quad e_1 = 16$$

(So we're not able to get e_1 to zero).