

Sensitivity Analysis

In this case, we'll switch to the transportation problem in the text. The optimal tableau was found to be:

	$v_1 = 6$	$v_2 = 6$	$v_3 = 10$	$v_4 = 2$	Supply
$u_1 = 0$	8	6	10	9	35
$u_2 = 3$	9	12	13	7	50
$u_3 = 3$	14	9	16	5	40
Demand	45	20	30	30	125

There are several changes we'll look at:

- Change the cost, c_{ij} for a NBV.
- Change the cost for a basic variable.
- Change supply s_i and demand d_j by Δ (must do both to stay balanced).

Computations for Sensitivity Analysis

We'll use the book's problem as our example. Here we go with some details.

1. Change a cost c_{ij} for a NBV.

In this situation, the change is completely localized to the (i, j) cell. To keep the current basis optimal, we only need:

$$(c_{ij} + \Delta) - (u_i + v_j) = (c_{ij} - (u_i + v_j)) + \Delta > 0$$

For example, changing the c_{11} cost from 8 to $8 + \Delta$ results in:

$$(8 - (6 + 0)) + \Delta > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta > -2$$

As an extra example, suppose we change by $\Delta = -3$. Compute the new basic solution.

SOLUTION: Changing the cost to 5 will make the "Row 0" value negative, meaning we now want to pivot into x_{11} . Doing that we get the loop:

$$\frac{\theta}{45 - \theta} \mid (10) \mid \frac{25 - \theta}{5 + \theta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{25}{20} \mid 10 \mid \frac{10}{30}$$

Recalculating “Row 0” values:

	$v_1 = 5$	$v_2 = 6$	$v_3 = 9$	$v_4 = 2$	Supply
$u_1 = 0$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 25	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 10	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	35
$u_2 = 4$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 20	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 30	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	50
$u_3 = 3$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 10	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ +	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 30	40
Demand	45	20	30	30	125

And we see that the current tableau is again optimal.

2. Changing the cost of a BV.

In this situation, adding Δ will have more of an effect, since these costs are used in calculating the u, v .

For example, let's change c_{13} from 10 to $10 + \Delta$ and track what happens:

	$v_1 = 6 + \Delta$	$v_2 = 6$	$v_3 = 10 + \Delta$	$v_4 = 2$	Supply
$u_1 = 0$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $(2 - \Delta)$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 10	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 10 + \Delta \\ \hline \end{array}$ 25	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ (7)	35
$u_2 = 3 - \Delta$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 45	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $(3 + \Delta)$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 5	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $(2 + \Delta)$	50
$u_3 = 3$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $(5 - \Delta)$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 10	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $(3 - \Delta)$	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 30	40
Demand	45	20	30	30	125

We have 5 inequalities to check:

$$2 - \Delta > 0, \quad 5 - \Delta > 0, \quad 3 + \Delta > 0, \quad 3 - \Delta > 0, \quad 2 + \Delta > 0$$

All are satisfied (do them on a number line) for $-2 < \Delta < 2$.

3. Change s_i, d_j when x_{ij} is basic:

This means that the solution is simply increased by the common amount. For example, if s_1, d_2 were increased by 2, then we increase x_{12} from 10 to 12. This increases the cost by $2 \times c_{12} = 2 \times 6 = 12$.

4. Change s_i, d_j when x_{ij} is non-basic: In this case, we need to “absorb” the change in the loop that is created by temporarily increasing x_{ij} from 0.

For example, suppose supply 1, demand 4 is increased to Δ . What is the corresponding change to the basic variables?

	$v_1 = 6$	$v_2 = 6$	$v_3 = 10$	$v_4 = 2$	Supply
$u_1 = 0$	8	6	10	9	$35 + \Delta$
$u_2 = 3$	45	10	25	Δ	50
$u_3 = 3$	14	9	16	5	40
Demand	45	20	30	$30 + \Delta$	125

This creates the loop:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c}
 10 + \Delta & (25) & \Delta - \Delta \\
 \hline
 & & \\
 \hline
 10 - \Delta & & 30 + \Delta
 \end{array}$$

And because the costs and shadow prices did not change, we are still optimal:

	$v_1 = 6$	$v_2 = 6$	$v_3 = 10$	$v_4 = 2$	Supply
$u_1 = 0$	8	6	10	9	$35 + \Delta$
$u_2 = 3$	45	$10 + \Delta$	25	7	50
$u_3 = 3$	14	9	16	5	40
Demand	45	$20 - \Delta$	30	$30 + \Delta$	125

With the change in $z = z_{\text{old}} + 6\Delta + 5\Delta - 9\Delta = z_{\text{old}} + 2\Delta$