

6.7: The Dual Theorem, Part 1

Before we start, we look at several Lemmas to get the ball rolling. To set up the notation, we will assume the normal form of our primal and dual,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Primal:} & \text{Dual:} \\ \max z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} & \min w = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \text{st } A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} & \text{st } A^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{x} \geq 0 & \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where A is $m \times n$ with rank m .

1. **RECALL:** $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ (where A, B could be vectors or arrays).

2. **Exercise:** Assume all vectors are in \mathbb{R}^n .

If $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c}$, then is $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{c}$? Give conditions for which it is true, and prove it.

SOLUTION: It is true if $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$.

3. **Lemma 1:** (Weak Duality) If we choose any feasible point for the primal, \mathbf{x} , and any feasible point for the dual, \mathbf{y} , then $z \leq w$.

Proof: We note that this means we need to show $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$.

If \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are each feasible, then we know that

$$A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \quad \text{and} \quad A^T \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{c}$$

Now, $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$, so we can dot the first with \mathbf{y} and the second by \mathbf{x} :

$$\mathbf{y}^T (A\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^T (A^T \mathbf{y}) \geq \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{c}$$

And with this:

$$\mathbf{y}^T (A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T (A^T \mathbf{y})$$

we get:

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{c} \leq \mathbf{y}^T A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b}$$

4. **Lemma 2:** (Strong Duality) Let \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} be any feasible points to the primal and dual, respectively, so that

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Then, the solutions are optimal for their respective LPs.

Note: This lemma does not guarantee that such points exist.

Proof: We know that, for any \mathbf{y} that is feasible for the dual, and any \mathbf{x} feasible for the primal, then $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$.

Now, consider the \mathbf{y} for which $z = w$. Then this is an upper bound for all $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$, so there is no \mathbf{x} that is larger (so the given \mathbf{x} is a maximizer).

Similarly, $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ is a lower bound for all \mathbf{y} , so no \mathbf{y} vector will yield a smaller value (so the given \mathbf{y} is a minimizer).

5. Lemmas 3 and 4:

- If the primal is unbounded, then the dual is infeasible. (HW 7) (The contrapositive is easy: If there is any feasible point to the dual, then $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$ would be an upper bound for any feasible point in the primal).
- If the dual is unbounded, then the primal is infeasible. (HW 8)
- It is possible that both the primal and dual are infeasible.